#### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2012 First Round July 11, 2012

Project Number CA-12-014

Project Name UA Homes

Site Address: 1040 University Avenue

Berkeley, CA 94710 County: Alameda

Census Tract: 4232.000

Tax Credit Amounts Federal/Annual State/Total

Requested: \$751,055 \$0 Recommended: \$751,055 \$0

**Applicant Information** 

Applicant: UA Homes, L.P.
Contact: Lisa Motoyama
Address: 2220 Oxford Street

Berkeley, CA 94704

Phone: 510.841.4410 Fax: 510.548.3502

Email: lmotoyama@rcdev.org

General partner(s) or principal owner(s): 112 Alves Lane, Inc.

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Developer: Resources for Community Development
Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Corporation

Management Agent: The John Stewart Company

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: Acquisition & Rehabilitation

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 74

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 74 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HOME / HUD Section 8 (73 unit - 99%)
Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs/SRO Project Units: 35.95%

Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 52 70 % 50% AMI: 22 25 %

**Information** 

Set-Aside: Nonprofit Homeless Assistance

Housing Type: Single Room Occupancy Geographic Area: North and East Bay Region

TCAC Project Analyst: Gina Ferguson

# **Unit Mix**

74 SRO/Studio Units 74 Total Units

				Proposed
		2012 Rents Targeted	2012 Rents Actual	Rent
		% of Area Median	% of Area Median	(including
Unit	Type & Number*	Income	Income	utilities)
51	SRO/Studio	30%	20%	\$327
22	SRO/Studio	50%	20%	\$327
1	SRO/Studio	30%	15%	\$245

<sup>\*</sup>Project has 24-hour desk staffing in lieu of onsite manager unit.

# **Project Financing**

Estimated Total Project Cost:	\$16,569,984	Residential	
Estimated Residential Project Cost:	\$15,176,640	Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$396
Estimated Commercial Project Cost	\$1,393,344	Per Unit Cost:	\$205,090
Construction Financi	ng	Permanent Financing	

construction I maneing	Termanent I maneng		
Source Amor	unt	Source	Amount
Union Bank	\$7,659,000	City of Berkeley Housing Trust Fund	\$793,816
City of Berkeley Housing Trust Fund (HOME)	\$793,816	HCD MHP Supportive Housing	\$3,270,890
CalHFA MHSA	\$758,600	CalHFA MHSA	\$758,600
AHP	\$740,000	AHP	\$740,000
Existing City of Berkeley loan	\$1,030,960	Existing City of Berkeley loan	\$1,030,960
Existing HCD CHRP loan	\$2,558,373	Existing HCD CHRP loan	\$2,558,373
Existing Reserves	\$294,822	Existing Reserves	\$294,822
Deferred Costs	\$2,033,411	Deferred Developer Fee	\$92,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$92,000	Tax Credit Equity	\$7,030,523
Tax Credit Equity	\$609,002	TOTAL	\$16,569,984

# **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$6,419,273
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$8,345,055
Applicable Rate:	9.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$751,055
Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost:	\$1,200,000
Approved Developer Fee in Eligible Basis:	\$1,168,248
Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership	Corporation
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.93609

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

### **Eligible Basis and Basis Limit**

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$6,419,273 Actual Eligible Basis: \$11,542,300 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$13,876,110 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$18,038,943

## **Adjustments to Basis Limit:**

Required to Pay Prevailing Wages 95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

#### **Tie-Breaker Information**

First: Single Room Occupancy

Second: **87.872%** 

#### **Cost Analysis and Line Item Review**

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 9.00% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit or credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.20%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

### Special Issues/Other Significant Information: None

**Legal Status:** Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

#### **Local Reviewing Agency:**

The Local Reviewing Agency, the City of Berkeley, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

**Recommendation:** Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual State Tax Credits/Total \$751,055 \$0

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation, any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected, and a Final Reservation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

**Additional Conditions:** None

Delinte Court on	Max. Possible	Requested	Points
Points System	Points	Points	Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within 1/4 mile of transit stop, service every 30 minutes in rush hours	6	6	6
Within ¼ mile of public park or community center open to general public	3	3	3
Within 1/4 mile of public library	3	3	3
Within ½ mile of a neighborhood market of at least 5,000 sf	3	3	3
Service Amenities	10	10	10
SPECIAL NEEDS AND SRO HOUSING TYPES			
Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms	5	5	5
Health/behavioral services provided by licensed org. or individual	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
REHABILITATION			
Rehabilitate to improve energy efficiency (change in HERS II rating): 25%	7	7	7
Additional rehab measures: Sustainable Building Mgmt Practices	3	3	3
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Total Points	148	148	148

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.